

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/977,924	9/977,924 10/15/2001		Antonio Nicolini	163-351	4053
47888	7590	04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
HEDMAN				CUFF, MIC	CHAEL A
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				3627	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Commence	09/977,924	NICOLINI, ANTONIO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Cuff	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 N	ovember 2005						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are rejected.	· / 						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subjected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
· _ ·	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
due attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.							
Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. in view of Rivalto.

Miller et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying that the identification is linked to a customer profile with discounts (price), past purchase data (prior depositing of currency or amounts paid) and a push button device.

Miller et al. shows an identification system card, which uses fingerprints as a form of univocal identification (via micro processor, memory of fingerprints inherent). Column 2, lines 71-75 shows that the intent of using the identification system with automatic vending. Figures 1 and 2 show the processing the geometric forms of the fingerprint.

Rivalto teaches, figure 1, an automated drive-up vending facility. There is a touch screen 18 (push button device) including a "quit-no purchase button" in order to facilitate communication. The identification system is linked to a customer profile (this database inherently has memory) with discounts (price) and past purchase data (prior depositing of currency or amounts paid) in order to provide better customer service and provide marketing opportunities.

Art Unit: 3627

Based on the teaching of Rivalto, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the automatic vending systems of Miller et al. to incorporate the identification links of Rivalto in order to provide better customer service and provide marketing opportunities and the touch screen of Rivalto in order to facilitate communication.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/16/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the invention completely eliminates the necessity of having to use any type of payment medium. This is not relevant because there are no claim limitations requiring this and it is not totally clear what falls in or out of the scope of "any type of payment medium".

Applicant asserts that there is no motivation to combine the references. The examiner does not concur. Miller explicitly suggests the combination with automatic vending and Rivalto is an automated vending facility.

Applicant asserts that the combination couldn't teach "the use of only a fingerprint". This is not relevant. It is not in the claim language.

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,924

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff April 17, 2006 laff 4/17/08